

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/666,804 09/21/2000		09/21/2000	Douglas E. Trent	P56103C	8933	
8439	7590	02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT E. BUSHNELL 1522 K STREET NW				BANGACHON, WILLIAM L		
SUITE 30		W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1202			2635		
				DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 12

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/666,804	TRENT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William Bangachon	2635	

Defense the Fill of the American Direct	00.000,000						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William Bangachon	2635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on 15 November 2004. A brief in compliance w Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	ith 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed with reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	in two months of the smissal of the appeal.	date of filing the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	L; will not be entered, or b) L; wil vided below or appended. .∕	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-49.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 		•	•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 13. Other: After further consideration of Applicant's arguments, the Examiner agrees that Porter do not disclose "a control stage comprising of a memory, being mounted entirely within and being encased by said container during said complete engagement". However, providing a housing for electronic components of a system is conventional. Therefore, the Examiner in turn, will reopen prosecution in a subsequent Office action to provide new grounds of rejection.

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Mechal Honalis

2